

# Republic of the Philippines NATIONAL PRIVACY COMMISSION

CPM,

Complainant,

-versus- NPC Case No. 19-258

For: Violation of Section 25 (b) of the Data Privacy Act of 2012

GREEN MONEY TREE LENDING CORP. (CASHWAGON),

Respondents.

X-----X

#### RESOLUTION

For consideration of the Commission is the Motion for Dismissal filed by Respondent Green Money Tree Lending Corp. (Cashwagon) dated 14 August 2019. Its allegations state:

- 1. On 20 June 2019, a discovery conference was set to hear the complaint of herein Complainant against herein Respondent for the latter's alleged violation of data privacy against the former, wherein both parties hereto are required to attend said conference. However, only the Respondent appeared during said discovery conference, thus, said conference was reset to 30 July 2019;
- 2. On 30 July 2019, herein Complainant failed again to appear/attend said discovery conference despite its resetting. Thus, herein Respondent manifested during said conference that it will file the necessary motion to dismiss this present complaint.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, respondent most respectfully moves for the dismissal of this present complaint on the sole ground that Complainant herein failed to appear for two (2) consecutive settings of the discovery conference despite due notice on her part.

### Resolution NPC Case No. 19--258 Page **2** of **3**

At the outset, there is a need to clarify that the Commission's Rules of Procedure<sup>1</sup> does not provide that a party's non-appearance in the Discovery proceedings is a ground for the dismissal of a case. The Rules only provide the following grounds for the outright dismissal of a complaint:

Section 12. Outright Dismissal. – The Commission may dismiss outright any complaint on the following grounds:

- a. The complainant did not give the respondent an opportunity to address the complaint, unless failure to do so is justified;
- b. The complaint is not a violation of the Data Privacy Act or does not involve a privacy violation of personal breach;
- c. The complaint is filed beyond the period for filing; or
- d. There is insufficient information to substantiate the allegations in the complaint or the parties cannot be identified or traced.

The grounds in the abovementioned provision not being present in the subject Complaint, the Commission finds that there is no sufficient ground to dismiss the complaint on the sole ground that the Complainant failed to appear for two (2) consecutive settings despite due notice on her part.

WHEREFORE, all these premises considered, this Commission resolves to DENY the Motion for Dismissal filed by the Respondent Green Money Tree Lending Corp. (Cashwagon) and hereby ORDERS the Respondent to submit its responsive Comment to the Complaint within ten (10) days from receipt of this Resolution.

#### SO ORDERED.

Pasay City, 5 November 2019.

# (sgd) **LEANDRO ANGELO Y. AGUIRRE**

Deputy Privacy Commissioner

We concur:

(sgd) **IVY D. PATDU**Deputy Privacy Commissioner

(sgd)

RAYMUND ENRIQUEZ LIBORO

Privacy Commissioner

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NPC Circular 16-04

### Resolution NPC Case No. 19--258 Page 3 of 3

## **COPY FURNISHED:**

**CPM** 

Marikina City

GREEN MONEY TREE LENDING CORP. (CASHWAGON)
Data Protection Officer

Makati City

GENERAL RECORDS UNIT ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

National Privacy Commission